

The New York Times

# The Opinion Pages

Search All NYTimes.com

Go

OP-ED CONTRIBUTOR

## Where Anonymity Breeds Contempt

By JULIE ZHUO

Published: November 29, 2010

Palo Alto, Calif.

Enlarge This Image



Jennifer Daniel and Sandi Daniel

THERE you are, peacefully reading an article or watching a video on the Internet. You finish, find it thought-provoking, and scroll down to the comments section to see what other people thought. And there, lurking among dozens of well-intentioned opinions, is a troll.

“How much longer is the media going to milk this beyond tired story?”

“These guys are frauds.” “Your idiocy is disturbing.” “We’re just trying to make the world a better

place one brainwashed, ignorant idiot at a time.” These are the trollish comments, all from anonymous sources, that you could have found after reading a CNN article on the rescue of the Chilean miners.

Trolling, defined as the act of posting inflammatory, derogatory or provocative messages in public forums, is a problem as old as the Internet itself, although its roots go much farther back. Even in the fourth century B.C., Plato touched upon the subject of anonymity and morality in his parable of the ring of Gyges.

That mythical ring gave its owner the power of invisibility, and Plato observed that even a habitually just man who possessed such a ring would become a thief, knowing that he couldn’t be caught. Morality, Plato argues, comes from full disclosure; without accountability for our actions we would all behave unjustly.

This certainly seems to be true for the anonymous trolls today. After Alexis Pilkington, a 17-year-old Long Island girl, committed suicide earlier this year, trolls [descended on her online tribute page](#) to post pictures of nooses, references to hangings and other hateful comments. A better-known example involves Nicole Catsouras, an 18-year-old who died in a car crash in California in 2006. [Photographs of her badly disfigured body were posted on the Internet](#), where anonymous trolls set up fake tribute pages and in some cases e-mailed the photos to her parents with subject lines like “Hey, Daddy, I’m still alive.”

Psychological research has proven again and again that [anonymity increases unethical behavior](#). Road rage bubbles up in the relative anonymity of one’s car. And in the online world, which can offer total anonymity, the effect is even more pronounced. People — even ordinary, good people — often change their behavior in radical ways. There’s even a term for it: the [online disinhibition effect](#).

RECOMMEND

TWITTER

SIGN IN TO E-MAIL

PRINT

REPRINTS

SHARE



Log in to see what your friends are sharing on nytimes.com. [Privacy Policy](#) | [What's This?](#)

Log In With Facebook

### What's Popular Now

Extra Calcium and Vitamin D Aren't Necessary, Report Says



Online, Anonymity Breeds Contempt



### Related

Times Topic: [Social Networking](#)

### Get the Opinion Today E-Mail

Sign up for the highlights of the day in Opinion, sent weekday afternoons.



Sign Up

[See Sample](#) | [Privacy Policy](#)

### MOST POPULAR

E-MAILED BLOGGED SEARCHED VIEWED

1. Report Questions Need for 2 Diet Supplements
2. Your Money: A Dying Banker's Last Instructions
3. Big City: The Mystery of the Red Bees of Red Hook
4. Personal Best: Fell Off My Bike, and Vowed Never to Get Back On
5. A Facebook Founder Begins a Social Network Focused on Charities
6. Acupuncturist Treats 40 N.F.L. Players in 4 Cities
7. Mind: A Fate That Narcissists Will Hate: Being Ignored
8. Celebrating Secession Without the Slaves
9. Findings: Murder! Intrigue! Astronomers?

Many forums and online communities are looking for ways to strike back. Back in February, Engadget, a popular technology review blog, [shut down its commenting system](#) for a few days after it received a barrage of trollish comments on its iPad coverage.

Many victims are turning to legislation. All 50 states now have stalking, bullying or harassment laws that explicitly include electronic forms of communication. Last year, Liskula Cohen, a former model, persuaded a New York judge to require Google to reveal the identity of an anonymous blogger who she felt had defamed her, and she has now filed a suit against the blogger. Last month, another former model, Carla Franklin, persuaded a judge to force YouTube to reveal the identity of a troll who made a disparaging comment about her on the video-sharing site.

But the law by itself cannot do enough to disarm the Internet's trolls. Content providers, social networking platforms and community sites must also do their part by rethinking the systems they have in place for user commentary so as to discourage — or disallow — anonymity. Reuters, for example, announced that it would start to block anonymous comments and require users to register with their names and e-mail addresses in an effort to curb “uncivil behavior.”

Some may argue that denying Internet users the ability to post anonymously is a breach of their privacy and freedom of expression. But until the age of the Internet, anonymity was a rare thing. When someone spoke in public, his audience would naturally be able to see who was talking.

Others point out that there's no way to truly rid the Internet of anonymity. After all, names and e-mail addresses can be faked. And in any case many commenters write things that are rude or inflammatory under their real names.

But raising barriers to posting bad comments is still a smart first step. Well-designed commenting systems should also aim to highlight thoughtful and valuable opinions while letting trollish ones sink into oblivion.

The technology blog Gizmodo is trying an audition system for new commenters, under which their first few comments would be approved by a moderator or a trusted commenter to ensure quality before anybody else could see them. After a successful audition, commenters can freely post. If over time they impress other trusted commenters with their contributions, they'd be promoted to trusted commenters, too, and their comments would henceforth be featured.

Disqus, a comments platform for bloggers, has experimented with allowing users to rate one another's comments and feed those ratings into a global reputation system called Clout. Moderators can use a commenter's Clout score to “help separate top commenters from trolls.”

At Facebook, where I've worked on the design of the public commenting widget, the approach is to try to replicate real-world social norms by emphasizing the human qualities of conversation. People's faces, real names and brief biographies (“John Doe from Lexington”) are placed next to their public comments, to establish a baseline of responsibility.

Facebook also encourages you to share your comments with your friends. Though you're free to opt out, the knowledge that what you say may be seen by the people you know is a big deterrent to trollish behavior.

This kind of social pressure works because, at the end of the day, most trolls wouldn't have the gall to say to another person's face half the things they anonymously post on the Internet.

Instead of waiting around for human nature to change, let's start to rein in bad behavior by

10. Holiday Gift Guide: 100 Notable Books of 2010

[Go to Complete List »](#)



ADVERTISEMENTS

Find your dream home with  
The New York Times Real Estate



Fan The New York Times on Facebook

Watch today's top videos

See the news in the making. Watch  
TimesCast, a daily news video.



Ads by Google

### [Human Condition Solved](#)

The breakthrough world-transforming  
explanation of the human condition  
[www.WorldTransformation.com/](http://www.WorldTransformation.com/)

promoting accountability. Content providers, stop allowing anonymous comments. Moderate your comments and forums. Look into using comment services to improve the quality of engagement on your site. Ask your users to report trolls and call them out for polluting the conversation.

In slowly lifting the veil of anonymity, perhaps we can see the troll not as the frightening monster of lore, but as what we all really are: human.

*Julie Zhuo is a product design manager at Facebook.*

A version of this op-ed appeared in print on November 30, 2010, on page A31 of the New York edition.

SIGN IN TO E-MAIL

PRINT

REPRINTS

 , [Click here to get 50% off Home Delivery of The New York Times.](#)

Ads by Google

**Cutting Edge Rehab**

Over 60 1-on-1 Expert Sessions/Mo.  
Integrated Organic & Modern Rehab  
[PassagesMalibu.com](http://PassagesMalibu.com)

**Get Free E-mail Alerts on These Topics**

Computers and the Internet

Social Networking (Internet)

Ethics

Facebook.com

INSIDE NYTIMES.COM



ARTS »



Celebrating 'Revelations' at 50

SPORTS »



Acupuncturist Treats 40 Players in 4 Cities

OPINION »

**Bloggingheads: Palin's Feminism**  
Michelle Goldberg and Rebecca Traister discuss Sarah Palin and gender.

SCIENCE »



Murder! Intrigue! Astronomers?

OPINION »



Op-Ed: Where Anonymity Breeds Contempt

TECHNOLOGY »



A Social Network Focused on Charities